UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

BANCTEC, INC., an entity,	CASE NO. C 06-5985 JSW
Petitioner, vs.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
PAMELA F. ALVAREZ,	
Respondent.	
Counsel report that they have the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.	met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached R. 16-8 and ADR L.R.3-5.
compel arbitration which are currently set fo resolve this matter completely. However, to	it that the pending motion to dismiss and motion to be hearing on February 23, 2007, are almost certain to the extent they do not, the parties agree to assues remain after the Court's rulings that are
Court Process: Non-binding Arbitration (AD Early Neutral Evaluation (EN Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	PR L.R. 4) TE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably more likely to meet their needs t	lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR (please i	identify process and provider)
	en the issues remaining after the Court's rulings on ss and Motion to Compel Arbitration, the parties

	agree to hold the AD motions.	R session within 90 days of resolution of the pending
Dated: Febru	uary 1, 2007	Steven F. Raspe Attorney for Petitioner
Dated: Febru	uary <u>(</u> , 2007	Gretchen Carpenter Attorney for Respondent
(PROPOSEI	ej ORDER	
	Pursuant to the	e Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitrati Early Neutral Evaluat Mediation Private ADR	
Deadl ⊠	arbitration, assuming	olution of the pending motion to dismiss and motion to compel issues remain which are amenable to ADR process.
IT IS SO OR	DERED.	
Dated: Febr	ruary 8, 2007	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

LEGAL_US_W # 55547586.1